

Policy on Transfers From Earnings Reserve to the Permanent Fund

Report to the State Board of Land Commissioners
by the:

Endowment Fund Investment Board
Endowment Reform Review Task Force
December 12, 2006

11/27 DRAFT – Subject to revision after 11/29 Task Force meeting

Summary

- At its August 2006 meeting, the Land Board asked the Task Force and the EFIB to determine the advisability of transferring Earnings Reserve to the Permanent Fund
- Based on work done since then, the two groups recommend that no transfer be made to Earnings Reserves at this time
- Also examining the overall Spending Policy, but no recommendation is ready yet

Background

- The Task Force and the EFIB have been examining Spending Policy in light of recent and expected future improvements in reserves
 - When should a change be made to the current policy to reflect the long term earnings potential of the endowments of \$80-\$90 million per year vs. today's distributions of \$38 million?
- Related questions:
 - How much is needed in reserves to minimize the chances of having a future reduction in distributions?
 - When should a transfer be made from Earnings Reserves to the Permanent Fund?

How High Should Reserves Be?

- The size of reserves is primarily a function of expected future volatility of fund returns and land revenues
- The Task Force and the EFIB are still examining data and modeling outcomes, but current work implies at least 2 ½ years of distributions should be in reserve for Public Schools.
 - For many of the small endowments, the required reserves are much higher

Current Situation vs. Long-term Target

- As of the end of FY2006, reserves totaled almost \$100 million, providing significant coverage of the \$38 million annual distribution
 - No endowment has less than 175% coverage and one has over 800%
- However, to comfortably distribute expected long-term endowment earnings of \$80-\$90 million per year would require reserves of \$250 million, 2 ½ times what is available now
 - No endowment has 100% of what is needed to cover its long-term distribution goal or target

Coverage Info By Endowment

(dollar amounts in millions)

	<i>Distributions</i>		<i>Earnings Reserves</i>			<i>Minimum Required Coverage***</i>
	<i><u>Current*</u></i>	<i><u>Target</u></i>	<i><u>FY2006**</u></i>	<i><u>% of Current</u></i>	<i><u>% of Target</u></i>	
Public Schools	27.0	55.8	64.9	241%	116%	250%
Ag College	0.7	2.5	2.4	337%	98%	480%
Charitable Institutions	2.6	5.4	6.1	238%	114%	270%
Normal School	2.3	6.4	5.4	235%	85%	250%
Penitentiary	0.7	2.0	1.3	176%	63%	410%
School of Science	2.1	7.4	3.9	181%	52%	340%
State Hospital South	1.1	6.1	9.2	803%	151%	280%
University	2.0	6.2	6.2	311%	100%	350%
Total	38.6	91.8	99.5	258%	39%	277%

Note: "Target" distributions and reserves are based on the assumption that a 200% safety margin is required in Earnings Reserves, plus normal annual variation in lands revenues

* Approved distributions for FY2008

** Audited June 2006 balance plus estimated \$8 million of transfers of dedicated funds

*** Based on expected volatility of financial markets and land revenues

***Coverage at targeted
levels of distributions
does not exceed the
minimum required***

Conclusion

- Currently, the endowments do not have enough reserves to support their expected long-term level of distributions
- Therefore, both the Task Force and the EFIB recommend that there be no transfers from Earnings Reserve to the Permanent fund for any endowment at this time